

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,743	01/11/2002		John W. Ladd	4584.2US (00-0787.2)	3846
24247	7590	04/07/2004		EXAMINER	
TRASK BRITT				CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110		84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/044,743	LADD, JOHN W.					
Advisory Accion	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Rick K. Chang	3729					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appet Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the latatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b							
(a) L they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	•						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:		,					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:							
		RICHARD CHARD PRIMARY EXAMINED					

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the term "temporary" is also included in the subject application because the term "temporatory" is a relative term. Claims 5 and 13 include the term "magnetically". Examiner maintains his double patenting rejection. Butherus discloses magnetically drawing. Examiner maintains his rejections.